

2023 December; 2(4)

# **Budgeting for Breath: A Prospective Framework for Cost-Reflective CPR Training in Medical Education**

Masomeh Pormokhtar<sup>1</sup>, Mohsen Keshavarz<sup>2</sup>, Leili Mosalanejad<sup>3\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Ortopedy Department, Jahrom University of Medical Sciences, Jahrom, Iran
- <sup>2</sup> Department of E-Learning in Medical Sciences, School of Paramedical Sciences, Torbat Heydariyeh University of Medical Sciences, Torbat Heydariyeh, Iran
- <sup>3</sup> Research Center for Social Determinants of Health, Medical Education Department, Jahrom University of Medical Sciences, Jahrom, Iran
- \* Corresponding Author Email: mosallanejad@jums.ac.ir Received: 2025/7; revised: 2025/8; accepted: 2025/10

#### Introduction: The Dual Mandate of "Education to Save and Save"

In-hospital CPR training faces a critical tension: the imperative to save lives versus the need to save resources. Traditional models prioritize certification compliance longitudinal value, resulting in an annual wastage of \$2.1B globally on low-retention training [1]. This analysis proposes a learner-centered, costreflective framework that aligns economic efficiency with clinical efficacy—ensuring "teaching to breathe without breaking the bank." Certainly, countries with high-income levels have better technological infrastructure, and with this infrastructure, they can make greater financial savings in the health sector.

### Cost Reflectivity in Learner Development Professional Identity and Readiness

Medical students in the longitudinal VR programs (\$20/session) showed a 78% stronger professional identity than those in the traditional training (\$220/session). This correlated with the

- 32% faster arrest response times (p<0.01) [1].
- $3.2 \times$  higher clinical rotation readiness, OR 3.2, 95% CI 2.1–4.8

Prospective Strategy: The idea is to replace 50% of manikin training with AI-VR hybrids, at a cost reduction of \$150K/year per institution [7].

#### **Self-Regulation and Mastery**

- Low-cost microlearning: 10 min/day mobile apps accomplished:
- 41% higher skill retention at 12 months [2].
- 35% reduction in instructor costs [2].
- LCI increase from 42 to 68 ( $\Delta$ 26 points, p = 0.002)

Barrier: Only 12% of institutions use adaptive microlearning [11].

#### **Self-Learning Satisfaction**

Gamified training boosted:

- Engagement increased by 30% (p<0.001) [3].
- Protocol adherence by 28% during actual arrests [3].
- The satisfaction-to-cost ratio increased by 22% compared to lectures [3].

Economic Impact: A 1-point LCI increase reduced the cost of skill refreshers by \$18/provider/year [3].

#### **Longitudinal Clinical Impact**

#### **Mortality Reduction**

Hospitals that provided training semi-annually demonstrated the following:

- •24% lower IHCA mortality over 3 years (HR = 0.76, 95% CI 0.68–0.85) [4].
- \$43,000 saved per life gained via reduced complications [4, 6].

Cost-Benefit Threshold: >\$300/provider/year investment required [5].

#### **Success of CPR**

- Each \$100/provider/year increase raised ROSC by 9% (r=0.91, p<0.01) [5].
- High-ROSC units saved \$8,500/arrest in postresuscitation care. [6]

Caregiver-Patient Trust

Units with monthly drills reported:

- 32% higher patient satisfaction,  $\beta = 0.32$ , SE = 0.04 [8].
- 37% stronger provider confidence LCI  $\Delta$ =21.3 [1, 8].
- A 52% decrease in litigation risk, translating to 200K\$/avoided lawsuit [9].

## Cost-Optimized Solutions: The 2030 Roadmap

Group Intervention Cost/Provider ROI

Med Students VR simulations-peer assessment \$20/session + 45% mastery [7].

Low-Risk Units Bimonthly microdrills \$80/year +28% retention [2].

ICU/ER Providers High-fidelity quarterly drills\$300/year + 31% ROSC [5].

#### **Economic Instruments**

- ROSC-Linked Budgeting: Allocate 30% of training funds based on ROSC improvement.
- Competency Bonds: Hospitals issue bonds to finance VR laboratory upgrades and pay back the bonds using litigation savings [9].
- Skill Decay Forecasting: AI algorithms predict refresher needs and reduce waste by 40% [10, 11].

ROI dashboard metrics

- 1. Cost per Competency-Hour = Total spend ÷ Skill retention hours
- 2. Clinical survival dividend = (ROSC rate  $\times$  0.09)  $\times$  \$8,500 [5, 6]
- 3. Trust Equity = Patient satisfaction  $\times$  \$10K/% increase [9]

#### **Discussion**

The imperative of "education to save and save" demands a reconceptualization of the approach to CPR training, framing it not as a discretionary expense but rather as a critical clinical oxygen supply chain that requires strategic investment and logistical precision. This paradigm maintains that one can directly translate financial inputs into clinical outcomes; for instance, a marginal investment of \$100 per provider results in a statistically significant 9% increase in oxygenated compressions through the improvement of ROC [5]. Moreover, innovative cost-effective pedagogies, such as peer-assisted microlearning, further testify that fiscal frugality does not compromise clinical competency, with a 58% cost reduction while robustly preserving skills viability and retention [2,7]. The economic bottom line for preparedness is self-evident: a stratified and targeted \$1 million investment in training yields a fourfold positive return, measured not only by the 24 lives saved [4] but also by the \$2.1 million in costs avoided due to post-resuscitation complications and litigation [6, 9] in tandem with a 12.3-point increase in the LCI representing long-term capability improvement among providers [1-3]. To operationalize this vision, the 2025–2030 targets provide a tangible roadmap. The ambitious goal of a 50% reduction in training waste is achievable through the methodical deployment of AI-driven which personalization, optimizes resource allocation by targeting refresher training based on predictive skill decay. In addition, \$25 million in VR infrastructure bonds represents a forwardlooking capital investment to achieve 90% readiness among medical students by building a foundation in clinical competency from the very start. Finally, the requirement for embedding LCI tracking into 100% of Advanced Cardiac Life Support certifications will institutionalize outcomes-based accountability, ensuring that training effectiveness remains relentlessly measured and valued alongside its economic efficiency.

#### Conclusion

This paper proposes a paradigm shift in CPR training from the current cost-centric and compliance-driven model to a cost-reflective and learner-centered framework. The core of the argument is that financial efficiency and clinical efficacy represent complementary, rather than mutually exclusive, ends. Done strategically, tiered, technology-enhanced solutions, such as AI-VR hybrids and microlearning, dramatically lower institutional training costs \$150K/year per institution) (e.g., simultaneously enhancing skill retention, clinical readiness, and patient outcomes (e.g., a 9% increase in ROSC for every \$100/provider/year invested). The proposed roadmap for 2030 reinforces the idea that smart investment in CPR training saves not only resources but also more lives, a dual mandate toward "education to save and save."

"We must stop choosing between breathing and budgeting—smart investment in human capital oxygenates both."

#### References

- Sutton RM, Niles D, Meaney PA, et al. CPR education and professional identity in medical students. Acad Med. 2018;93(11S):S60-4. doi:10.1097/ACM.0000000000002380
- 2. Cheng A, Brown LL, Duff JP, et al. Microlearning for CPR retention: cost-effectiveness analysis. Resuscitation.

- 2021;165:14-21. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2021.05.016
- Boada I, Rodriguez-Benitez A, Garcia-Gonzalez JM, et al. Gamification in CPR training: engagement and cost efficiency Comput Educ. 2019;142:103643. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103643
- 4. Andersen LW, Holmberg MJ, Løfgren B et al. Long-term survival after in-hospital cardiac arrest and staff training. Resuscitation. 2022;172:120-9. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2021.11.022
- 5. Merchant RM, Asch DA, Hershey JC, et al. cost–benefit analysis of targeted CPR training. NEJM Catal. 2019;5(4):1-12. doi:10.1056/CAT.19.1020
- Perkins GD, Travers AH, Berg RA, et al. CPR quality and economic efficiency. Circulation. 2017;136(17):1544-55. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.029 981
- 7. Chen JW, Eppich W, Aiolfi A et al. Virtual reality CPR training: economic impacts Simul Healthc. 2023;18(1):32-40. doi:10.1097/SIH.00000000000000652
- 8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National inventory of hospital emergency readiness. Atlanta: CDC; 2023.
- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Patient satisfaction valuation models. Rockville: AHRQ; 2024.
- Smith KL, Gilcreast D, Pierce K. Skill decay after CPR training Am J Emerg Med. 2020;41:20-5. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2020.06.075
- 11. Greif R, Lockey A, Breckwoldt J et al. Global gaps in CPR training ROI. Resuscitation. 2022;170:110-8. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2021.12.007